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## BACKGROUND

$>$ Need to represent and manipulate 2D, 3D and higher dimensional simplicial complexes describing multi-dimensional shapes with complex topology
$>$ Generalized digital shapes:
$>$ are discretized through simplicial complexes over an arbitrary underlying domain
$>$ can contain non-manifold singularities
$>$ can contain non-regular parts
of different dimensionalities


Manifold shape


Non-manifold shape with parts of different dimensionalities

## Storage costs

$>$ We compared storage costs of IA* with
$>$ Incidence-based data structures (IG and $I S$ )
$>$ Dimension-specific adjacency-based data structures (TS in 2D and NMIA in 3D)

| Model | IG | IS | TS | NMIA | IA ${ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Armchair | 127 K | 101 K | 69.4 K | - | 69.2 K |
| Balance | 96 K | 76 K | 51.9 K | - | 51.9 K |
| Carter | 95 K | 75 K | 53 K | - | 52 K |
| Chandelier | 220 K | 174 K | 121 K | - | 120 K |
| Robot | 80 K | 63 K | 46 K | - | 44.9 K |
| Ballon | 44 K | 33 K | - | 18 K | 18 K |
| Flasks | 104 K | 74 K | - | 32 | 31.8 K |
| Gargoyle | 271 K | 193 K | - | 83 K | 83 K |
| Rings | 231 K | 164 K | - | 68 K | 67.6 K |
| Teapot | 219 K | 162 K | - | 84.7 K | 84 K |

Storage costs are expressed in terms of the number of pointers
$>$ Over a testbed of 62 manifold, non-regular and non-manifold shapes in 2D and 3D,
IA* is the most compact data structures:
$>1.5$ times smaller than the IS for 2D models
$>1.8$ times smaller than the IG for 2D models
$>2.2$ times smaller than the IS for 3D models
$>3.2$ times smaller than the IG for 3D models
$>5 \%$ smaller than the TS for 2D models
$>3 \%$ smaller than the NMIA for 3D models

## Contribution

> The Generalized Indexed data structure with Adjacencies (IA*):
$>$ dimension-independent adjacency-based data structure for general shapes
$>$ agnostic about embedding of the input shape in the underlying space
$>$ encodes only vertices and top simplices (simplices not on the boundary of other simplices)
$>$ optimal retrieval of all topological relations
$>$ scalable with respect to manifold case
$>$ supports shape editing operations
$>$ more compact than state of the art
$>$ dimension-independent incidence-based Incidence Graph (IG) [Ede87] and Incidence Simplicial (IS) [DFHPC10]
$>$ dimension-specific adjacency-based Triangle Segment (TS) [DFMPSO4] in 2D Non-manifold Incidence with Adjacency (NMIA) [DFMPSO4] in 3D

## TOPOLOGICAL QUERIES

$>$ Boundary relations for $p$-simplex $\sigma$ are retrieved by generating faces of $\sigma$, requiring constant time:
$\Rightarrow$ IA* is $15 \%$ faster than IG and IS
$>$ Co-boundary relations of type $\mathbf{R}_{0, \mathrm{q}}(v)$ are retrieved with respect to top simplices incident in $v$, requiring time linear in the number of top simplices in the star of vertex $v$ :
$>$ IA* is $20 \%$ faster than IG and $30 \%$ faster than IS for 2D models
$\Rightarrow$ IA* is $35 \%$ faster than IG and $62 \%$ faster than IS for 3D models
$\Rightarrow$ Co-boundary relations of type $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\sigma)$, with $p \neq 0$, are based on the retrieval of the $\mathbf{R}_{0, \mathrm{q}}(v)$ relation for a vertex $v$ of simplex $\sigma$, requiring time linear in the number of top simplexes incident in $v$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\mathrm{IA}^{*} \text { is } 15 \% \text { slower than IG for } \mathrm{R}_{1, \mathrm{q}} \\
& >\mathrm{IA}^{*} \text { is } 11 \% \text { slower than IS for } \mathrm{R}_{1, \mathrm{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ Adjacency relations for a simplex $\sigma$ are retrieved by combining boundary and co-boundary relations and require time linear in the number of top simplices incident in one vertex of $\sigma$.
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